

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No.	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/885,819	MATSUOKA, AKIHIKO	
	Examiner William J Deane	Art Unit 2642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William J Deane. (3) Mrs. Grove.  
 (2) Mr. Ashery. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06Jan2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patnet App No. 2001/0030581 (Dent) and U.S. Pat No. 6512417 (Jin et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives pointed to lines 14 - 18 in claim 1 and discussed how the prior art does not teach or disclose this limitation. The examiner said he would discuss this issue with a Primary in class 455. Examiner Corsaro agreed with applicants representative. The examiner conducted an additional search, but was unable to find a reference that fairly taught or disclosed alone or in combination applicants limitations as recited in the independent claims. Please note the enclosed 892..